

Convergence to equilibrium under a random Hamiltonian

Fernando G.S.L. Brandão¹, Piotr Œwikliński^{2,6}, Michał Horodecki³,

Paweł Horodecki², Jarosław Korbicz⁴, Marek Mozrzymas⁵

¹ *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
Belo Horizonte, Caixa Postal 702, 30123-970, MG, Brazil*

² *Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdańsk University of Technology, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland*

³ *Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Gdańsk, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

⁴ *ICFO (Institut de Ciències Fotòniques), 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain*

⁵ *Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, 50-204 Wrocław, Poland*

⁶ *School of Science and Technology, Physics Division,
University of Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy*

We analyse the time of equilibration of subsystems of a larger system under a random total Hamiltonian, in which the basis of the Hamiltonian is drawn from the Haar measure. We obtain that the time of equilibration is of the order of the inverse of the arithmetic average of the Bohr frequencies. To compute the average over random basis, we compute the inverse of a matrix of overlaps of operators which permute four systems. We first obtain results on such matrix for a representation of an arbitrary finite group and then apply it to the particular representation of the permutation group under consideration.

I. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of convergence to equilibrium despite an underlying deterministic dynamics was usually justified by referring to subjective lack of knowledge, i.e. by putting probabilities by hand. However, already in 1929, von Neumann (see [1] for an English translation and commentary) put forward an argument for relaxation without referring to an ensemble: for a typical initial pure quantum state, averages of macroscopic observables will be for most time around their equilibrium value. In this approach, thermalization is implied by statistical properties of quantum states themselves, namely it is due to the fundamental lack of knowledge represented by quantum probability. This "individualist" approach to equilibrium (as phrased in [1]) has been recently intensively developed, see e.g. [2–6]. More broadly, new theoretical and experimental developments in the question of subsystem equilibration in close quantum systems have also been achieved [7–17]. However the time of equilibration, a very important aspect of equilibration and thermalization, was not considered so far. A natural time scale that appears from the analysis of [4] is the inverse of the smallest energy gap of the Hamiltonian. However the latter is typically exponentially small in the size of the system, and thus cannot offer an explanation for the fast nature of thermalization.

In this paper we consider the issue of time of equilibration. As in [4] we consider system S and the bath B , and we are interested in equilibration of the system, given that the bath is sufficiently large. We evaluate the distance of the state $\rho(t)_{SB}$ of a system and a bath, evolving according to a random Hamiltonian, from the state ω which is obtained by removing the blocks of $\rho(0)$ which are off-diagonal with respect to Hamiltonian spectral decomposition.

Our main result amounts to showing that if we choose the basis of the Hamiltonian randomly according to Haar

measure, then the time of equilibration depends on the (arithmetic) average distance between the eigen-energies of the Hamiltonian rather than on the worst case gap.

Computing the average over random choice of the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian, is reduced to evaluating average of the sort $\text{Tr} \left[U^{\otimes 4} X (U^{\otimes 4})^\dagger Y \right]$ over Haar distributed unitary transformations U , where X, Y are operators. This leads us to a general problem of inverting a matrix $M_{gh} = \chi(g^{-1}h)$, where g, h are elements of a finite group G and χ is character of some given representation of the group. It turns out that such a matrix enjoys nice properties, which allow to obtain the inverse in the case of interest (i.e. for $G = S_4$). We also present some other properties of the above matrix.

The paper is organized as follows. In sec. II we compute average of the distance from equilibrium over random basis of the Hamiltonian. In sec. III we discuss the dependence of time of equilibration on eigenvalues of a Hamiltonian with a random basis. In sec. IV we derive the inverse of the matrix of overlaps of swap operators. To this end we first provide properties of a general matrix of this type constructed from any given representation of a group.

II. AVERAGING OVER RANDOM CHOICE OF EIGENBASIS

Let us introduce some notation. We have two systems S and B (the latter one playing the role of the heat bath). We consider an arbitrary initial state $\rho_{SB}(0) = |\psi\rangle_{SB}\langle\psi|$. Since we shall consider random Hamiltonians, whose basis is chosen according to Haar distribution, we can equally well take a standard product initial state: $|\psi_{SB}\rangle = |0\rangle_S|0\rangle_B$. We now consider the evolved state

$\rho_{SB}(t)$ given by

$$\rho_{SB}(t) = e^{-iHt} \rho_{SB}(0) e^{iHt} \quad (1)$$

We also define a state ω_{SB} as

$$\omega_{SB} = \sum_i P_i \rho_{SB}(0) P_i \quad (2)$$

where P_i are eigen-projectors of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_i E_i P_i \quad (3)$$

We set

$$H = U H_0 U^\dagger, \quad P_i = U P_i^0 U^\dagger, \quad (4)$$

where $H_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_d)$. We assume that the probability measure over Hamiltonians splits into two parts

$$d\mu(H) = d\mu_1(U) d\mu_2(H_0) \quad (5)$$

where μ_1 is Haar measure, while μ_2 is distribution over energies (such a separation holds e.g. for gaussian unitary ensemble). Therefore we have $\langle \dots \rangle_H = \langle \langle \dots \rangle_U \rangle_{H_0}$. Let us also denote $W = e^{iHt}$, $W_0 = e^{iH_0 t}$, $\mathbb{W} = W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger$, and $\mathbb{P} = \sum_i P_i^0 \otimes P_i^0$. By $\mathbb{V}_{X_1: X_2}$ we will denote the operator which swaps the systems X_1 and X_2 .

We consider the distance between the state $\rho_S(t) = \text{Tr}_B \rho_{BS}(t)$ and the state $\omega_S = \text{Tr}_B(\omega_{SB})$, induced by the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(A^\dagger A)}$, and we want to average it over random Hamiltonians. We will need the following proposition

Proposition 1 *The following relation holds*

$$\text{Tr}(\rho_S(t) - \omega_S)^2 = \text{Tr} \left[Y U^{\otimes 4} X U^{\dagger \otimes 4} \right] \quad (6)$$

where the label i denotes the system $S_i B_i$, which are isomorphic to SB , X is given by

$$X = (\mathbb{W} - \mathbb{P})_{13} \otimes (\mathbb{W}^\dagger - \mathbb{P})_{24} \quad (7)$$

with $\sigma = \rho_{SB}(0)$, and Y is given by

$$Y_{1234} = \mathbb{V}_{12:34}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_{34}). \quad (8)$$

To prove this proposition, we shall need the following easy to check fact

Fact 2 *For two systems 1 and 2, and for arbitrary operators A_1, B_2, C_{12} and D_{12} we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[C_{12} A_1 \otimes B_2 D_{12} A_1^\dagger \otimes B_2^\dagger] = \\ \text{Tr}[\mathbb{V}_{12:34}(C_{12} \otimes D_{34})(A_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes A_3^\dagger \otimes B_4^\dagger)] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here 3 and 4 are auxiliary systems isomorphic to 1 and 2.

Proof of Proposition 1. We rewrite $\text{Tr}(\rho_S(t) - \omega_S)^2$ as follows (we will not write dependence on time explicitly)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho_S - \omega_S)^2 &= \text{Tr} \rho_S^2 - 2\text{Tr} \rho_S \omega_S + \text{Tr} \omega_S^2 = \\ &= \text{Tr}[(\rho_{S_1} \otimes \rho_{S_2} - \rho_{S_1} \otimes \omega_{S_2} - \omega_{S_1} \otimes \rho_{S_2} + \omega_{S_1} \otimes \omega_{S_2}) \mathbb{V}_{S_1: S_2}] \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \mathbb{F}) - \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \omega_2 \mathbb{F}) - \\ &\quad - \text{Tr}(\omega_1 \otimes \rho_2 \mathbb{F}) + \text{Tr}(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{12} = \mathbb{V}_{S_1: S_2} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{B_1 B_2}$, and again, the label i denotes system $S_i B_i$, so that e.g. $\rho_1 = \rho_{S_1 B_1}$.

Consider now the first term. Writing $\rho_{SB} = e^{-iHt} \sigma_{SB} e^{iHt}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \mathbb{F}) &= \text{Tr}(e^{-iHt} \sigma e^{iHt} \otimes e^{-iHt} \sigma e^{iHt} \mathbb{F}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 W_1 \otimes W_2 \mathbb{F} W_1^\dagger \otimes W_2^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We can now use Fact 2, putting $C_{12} = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2$, $D_{12} = \mathbb{F}$, $A = B = W$. As a result we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \mathbb{F}) &= \text{Tr}[Y_{1234}(W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes W_1^\dagger \otimes W_2^\dagger)] = \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[Y_{1234} U^{\otimes 4} (W_0 \otimes W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger \otimes W_0^\dagger) U^{\dagger \otimes 4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In similar way we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \otimes \omega_2 \mathbb{F}) &= \\ &= \sum_i \text{Tr} \left[Y_{1234} U^{\otimes 4} (W_0 \otimes P_i \otimes W_0^\dagger \otimes P_i) U^{\dagger \otimes 4} \right] \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_1 \otimes \rho_2 \mathbb{F}) &= \\ &= \sum_i \text{Tr} \left[Y_{1234} U^{\otimes 4} (P_i \otimes W_0 \otimes P_i \otimes W_0^\dagger) U^{\dagger \otimes 4} \right] \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \mathbb{F}) &= \\ &= \sum_{ij} \text{Tr} \left[Y_{1234} U^{\otimes 4} (P_i \otimes P_j \otimes P_i \otimes P_j) U^{\dagger \otimes 4} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If we now insert (13) and (12) into (10) we obtain (6). ■

We are now prepared to state the main result of this section

Theorem 3 *We have up to a leading order*

$$\langle \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2 \rangle_U \sim \frac{|\eta|^2}{d^2} \frac{1}{d_S} + \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{d^2} - \frac{\gamma}{d^2} \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right) \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \text{Tr} W_0 = \sum_i d_i e^{iE_i t}, \quad \eta = \text{Tr} W_0^2 = \sum_i d_i e^{i2E_i t}, \\ \gamma &= \sum_i d_i^2, \quad d = d_S d_B \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and $\langle \cdot \rangle_U$ denotes average over U according to Haar measure, and d_i are the dimension of the degeneracy.

Remark. It is easy to show that in the case of no-degeneracy, average from Theorem 3 reduces to

$$\langle \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2 \rangle_U \sim \frac{|\eta|^2}{d^2} \frac{1}{d_S} + \frac{|\xi|^4}{d^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right), \quad (16)$$

where in this case $\xi = \text{Tr}W_0 = \text{Tr}e^{iH_0t}$ and $\eta = \text{Tr}W_0^2 = \text{Tr}e^{i2H_0t}$.

Proof of the Theorem 3. We want to compute the average over U of the formula (6). Let us denote $\tau_4(\cdot) = \int dUU^{\otimes 4}(\cdot)U^{\otimes 4\dagger}$. We thus want to compute $\text{Tr}[Y\tau_4(X)]$ with X, Y given by (7) and (8) respectively. To this end we apply Fact 23 from the Appendix. We write our operators X and Y in terms of product of operators as follows:

$$X = C_1 - C_2 - C_3 + C_4, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger \otimes W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger, \\ C_2 &= \sum_i W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger \otimes P_i^0 \otimes P_i^0, \\ C_3 &= \sum_i P_i^0 \otimes P_i^0 \otimes W_0 \otimes W_0^\dagger, \\ C_4 &= \sum_{ij} P_i^0 \otimes P_i^0 \otimes P_j^0 \otimes P_j^0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we put systems in the following order (3, 4, 1, 2). We also introduce Y' as follows

$$Y' = \sum_{ij} \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes A_3^{ij} \otimes A_4^{ji} \quad (19)$$

Now, we define the vectors $\vec{c}^{(k)}$ by $c_\pi^{(k)} = \text{Tr}C_k \mathbb{V}_{\pi-1}$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\vec{a}$ by $a_\pi = \text{Tr}(Y \mathbb{V}_{\pi-1})$. Note that, we present here, result for a $\vec{a}'$ which is related to the vector $\vec{a}$, in a similar way, like Y' to Y , namely $\vec{a} = \mathbb{V}_{12:34}\vec{a}'$. In order to compute these coefficients we decompose a given permutation into cycles, so that for product operators, as those in the above equations, the formula breaks into product of separate terms associated with the cycles. For a single cycle we use Fact 22. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{c}_1 &= (|\xi|^4, |\xi|^2 d, |\xi|^2 d, |\xi|^2, |\xi|^2, \bar{\eta}\xi^2, |\xi|^2 d, d^2, |\xi|^2, d, d, |\xi|^2, \\ &\quad |\xi|^2, d, \eta\bar{\xi}^2, |\xi|^2, |\eta|^2, d, d, |\xi|^2, |\xi|^2, |\xi|^2 d, d, d^2), \\ \vec{c}_2 &= (\gamma|\xi|^2, d, p\xi^*, \gamma, \gamma, p^*\xi, d|\xi|^2, d^2, |\xi|^2, d, d, |\xi|^2, \\ &\quad |\xi|^2, d, p\xi^*, \gamma, \gamma, d, d, |\xi|^2, \gamma, p^*\xi, d, \gamma), \\ \vec{c}_3 &= (\gamma|\xi|^2, d, p\xi^*, \gamma, \gamma, p^*\xi, d|\xi|^2, d^2, |\xi|^2, d, d, |\xi|^2, |\xi|^2, d, \\ &\quad p\xi^*, \gamma, \gamma, d, d, |\xi|^2, \gamma, p^*\xi, d, \gamma), \\ \vec{c}_4 &= (\gamma^2, \gamma d, \iota, \gamma, \gamma, \iota, \gamma d, d^2, \gamma, d, d, \gamma, \\ &\quad \gamma, d, \iota, \gamma, \gamma, d, d, \gamma, \iota, d, \gamma), \\ \vec{a}' &= (dd_B, dd_S, d_B, d_S, d_S, d_B, dd_B, dd_S, d_B, d_S, d_S, d_B, \\ &\quad d_B, d_S, d_B, d_S, 1, 1, d_S, d_B, d_S, d_B, 1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here, $\xi_i = \text{Tr}(P_i W_0) = d_i e^{iE_i t}$, $\xi = \text{Tr}W_0 = \sum_i \xi_i = \sum_i d_i e^{iE_i t}$, $p = \sum_i d_i \xi_i$ where d_i are the dimension of the degeneracy, and finally "the scalar product": $p = \sum_i d_i \xi_i = \sum_i d_i^2 e^{iE_i t}$. What is more, $\gamma = \sum_i d_i^2$ and $\iota = \sum_i d_i^3$.

Now, we proceed to compute the matrix M^{-1} . We refer to the section IV where we consider the general

properties of the matrix M defined more generally for representation of any group. In our case, for $d \geq 4$ the matrix is invertible, and its inverse is given by (66). We can now use the formula (23) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2 \rangle_U &= -\frac{1}{d^2(2+d)(-3-d+3d^2+d^3)} \times \\ &\quad (4d + 4d^2 + 2d^3 - 4dd_B + 4d^3d_B + d^4d_B - \\ &\quad |\xi|^4(2+d)(1+d-d_B-d_S) - 4dd_S - 2d^3d_S - \\ &\quad 4d^4d_S - d^5d_S - b(2+(-2+2d+4d^2+d^3)d_B - \\ &\quad (2+4d+d^2)d_S) + 4\gamma - 4d\gamma - 6d^2\gamma - 2d^3\gamma - \\ &\quad 4d_B\gamma + 2dd_B\gamma + 5d^2d_B\gamma + d^3d_B\gamma - 4d_S\gamma + \\ &\quad 2dd_S\gamma + 5d^2d_S\gamma + d^3d_S\gamma - 2\gamma^2 - 3d\gamma^2 - \\ &\quad d^2\gamma^2 + 2d_B\gamma^2 + dd_B\gamma^2 + 2d_S\gamma^2 + dd_S\gamma^2 + \\ &\quad 2|\xi|^2(1+d-d_B-d_S)(d+2\gamma+d\gamma) + 4\iota + \\ &\quad 4d\iota - 4d_B\iota - 4d_S\iota + \bar{\xi}^2\eta + d\bar{\xi}^2\eta - d_B\bar{\xi}^2\eta - \\ &\quad d_S\bar{\xi}^2\eta + \xi^2\bar{\eta} + d\xi^2\bar{\eta} - d_B\xi^2\bar{\eta} - d_S\xi^2\bar{\eta} - 4p^*\xi - \\ &\quad 4dp^*\xi + 4d_Bp^*\xi + 4d_Sp^*\xi - 4p\xi^* - 4dp\xi^* + \\ &\quad 4d_Bp\xi^* + 4d_Sp\xi^*) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

One then finds, that up to a leading order, and a constant factor, this gives the right hand side of (14). ■

Remark. Using ideas of measure concentration it is easy to show that Theorem 3 can be extended to say that the vast majority of unitaries U will have a $\|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2$ close to the average and hence the correspondent Hamiltonian will equilibrate quickly. Moreover, we can pass to trace norm, by using $\|A\|_1 \leq \sqrt{D}\|A\|_2$, for operators A acting on $\mathbb{C}^D$, which adds factor d_S (recall that we consider $d_B \gg d_S$).

At the end of this section, let us present important remark, but first we will need the following lemma, namely Levy's lemma [18]

Lemma 4 For a given function f which is Lipschitz's continuous with a Lipschitz's constant, it can be shown that:

$$\Pr_{U \sim \mu_{\text{Haar}}} (|f(U) - \mu| \geq \delta) \leq Ce^{-cd\delta^2}, \quad (22)$$

where C, c are constants and d is the dimension of a total system.

We expect exponent from equation (22) to be small, so we put $\delta = d^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ in our case.

Remark Application of Levy's lemma and passing to trace norm from the above remark yields that for our average from Theorem 3, we have that with high probability, according to Haar measure over U , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_1 &\leq \\ &\quad c \left\{ \frac{|\eta|}{d} + \sqrt{d_S} \frac{|\xi|^2}{d^2} - \sqrt{d_S} \frac{\gamma}{d^2} + O\left(\frac{d_S}{d_B}\right) + \sqrt{\frac{d_S}{d^{1/3}}} \right\} 23 \end{aligned}$$

where c is an absolute constant, and the other notation is as in Theorem 3.

III. AVERAGE OVER ENERGIES

Having obtained the expression which depends only on eigenvalues let us first observe what happens if we average over time. Then using Eq. (14) and averaging over a fixed time interval $[0, T]$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \langle \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2 \rangle_U \\ & \leq \frac{1}{Td^2 d_S} \sum_{j,k} \frac{|e^{i(E_j - E_k)T} - 1|}{|E_j - E_k|} \\ & + \frac{1}{Td^4} \sum_{j,k,r,s} \frac{|e^{i(E_j - E_k + E_r - E_s)T} - 1|}{|E_j - E_k + E_r - E_s|} + O(d_B^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since

$$\frac{|e^{i(E_j - E_k)T} - 1|}{|E_j - E_k|} \leq 1 \quad (25)$$

and likewise

$$\frac{|e^{i(E_j - E_k + E_r - E_s)T} - 1|}{|E_j - E_k + E_r - E_s|} \leq 1 \quad (26)$$

we see that if we choose T of order of the average (over the indices j, k, r, s) of the inverse gaps $(E_j - E_k)^{-1}$ and $(E_j - E_k + E_r - E_s)^{-1}$, the LHS of (24) will be small. This in turn ensures that the state of the subsystem is close to ω_S for most times in the interval $[0, T]$.

A. Average over gaussian independent energies

As a simple example, let us now consider the average over Gaussian independent energies, i.e. we consider the following distribution

$$\varrho(E_1, \dots, E_d) = \varrho(E_1) \dots \varrho(E_d) \quad (27)$$

where

$$\varrho(E_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{E_i^2}{\sigma^2}} \quad (28)$$

and $\sigma = \log d$. We obtain

Proposition 5 *For the ensemble of Hamiltonians, obtained by picking a basis according to Haar measure and energies according to distribution (27) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2t^2(\log d)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right) \lesssim \langle \|\rho_S(t) - \omega_S\|_2^2 \rangle_H \\ & \lesssim e^{-4t^2(\log d)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Remark: It follows that the time of convergence of the state $\rho(t)$ to equilibrium scales roughly as the inverse of $\log d$, i.e. as the inverse of the volume of the total system.

Proof: Due to Theorem 3 in order to prove the proposition we need to compute the average (from now on, we omit the lower index in averages)

$$\left\langle \frac{|\eta|^2}{d^2} \frac{1}{d_S} + \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{d^2} - \frac{\gamma}{d^2} \right)^2 \right\rangle \quad (30)$$

over the distribution (27). Since for any random variable Z we have $\langle Z^2 \rangle \geq \langle Z \rangle^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\langle |\xi|^2 \rangle}{d^2} \right)^2 \leq \left\langle \frac{|\eta|^2}{d^2} \frac{1}{d_S} + \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{d^2} - \frac{\gamma}{d^2} \right)^2 \right\rangle \\ & \leq \left\langle \frac{|\eta|^2}{d^2} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{|\xi|^4}{d^4} \right\rangle \leq \sqrt{\frac{\langle |\eta|^4 \rangle}{d^4}} + \frac{\langle |\xi|^4 \rangle}{d^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

since we can drop term $\left(\frac{\gamma}{d^2}\right)^2$, because it is a constant in our case and $\gamma^2 |\xi|^2 \sim \sqrt{|\xi|^4}$. We first compute $\langle |\xi|^2 \rangle$:

$$\langle |\xi|^2 \rangle = \sum_{mn} \langle e^{iE_m t} e^{-iE_n t} \rangle = d + \sum_{m \neq n} \langle e^{iE_m t} \rangle \langle e^{-iE_n t} \rangle, \quad (32)$$

where we used independence of energies. Since $\langle e^{iE_n t} \rangle = e^{-\frac{t^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\langle |\xi|^2 \rangle}{d^2} = \frac{1}{d^2} (d + (d^2 - d) e^{-2t^2 \sigma^2}) \sim e^{-2t^2 \sigma^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \quad (33)$$

Setting $\sigma = \log d$ and dropping $O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right)$ we obtain the lower bound. To get the upper bound we need to perform a similar, but a bit more tedious, calculation which gives the following expression for $\langle |\xi|^4 \rangle$ (the full calculations are a little bit too lengthy and rather uninformative, so they are not reported here)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle |\xi|^4 \rangle}{d^4} &= \sum_{klmn} \frac{1}{d^4} \langle e^{iE_k t} e^{-iE_l t} e^{iE_m t} e^{-iE_n t} \rangle \\ &\sim \sum_{k \neq l \neq m \neq n} \langle e^{iE_k t} \rangle \langle e^{-iE_l t} \rangle \langle e^{iE_m t} \rangle \langle e^{-iE_n t} \rangle + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \\ &= e^{-4t^2 \sigma^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now, since $O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \leq O\left(\frac{1}{d_B}\right)$, and $\eta(t) = \xi(2t)$ we obtain the upper bound.

IV. INVERSE OF THE MATRIX M

In this section we derive properties of the matrix M which were needed in the proof of Theorem (3).

A. Properties of M matrix for general representations

We will first introduce some notation. Denote by G an arbitrary finite group, $|G| = n$. Let

$$D^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^\alpha); \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, r; \dim \mathcal{H}^\alpha = d_\alpha \quad (35)$$

be all inequivalent, irreducible representations (IR) (not necessarily unitary) of G and let

$$D^\alpha(g) = (D_{ij}^\alpha(g)); \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha \quad (36)$$

be their matrix forms where $D^1(g) = 1$ is the trivial representation. By

$$\chi^\alpha(g) = \text{Tr}(D_{ij}^\alpha(g)) \quad (37)$$

we denote corresponding irreducible character (ICH). We now define our main object - the matrix M^D .

Definition 6 Let $D : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H})$ be any representation (not necessarily unitary) of G . Define a matrix $M \in M(n, \mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} M^D &= (m_{gh}) = (\text{Tr}(D^{-1}(g)D(h))) \\ &= (\text{Tr}(D(g^{-1}h))) = (\chi^D(g^{-1}h)) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

We apply this definition to irreducible representations D^α :

Definition 7 For irreducible representations D^α we define the corresponding matrices

$$\begin{aligned} M^\alpha &= (m_{gh}^\alpha) = (\text{Tr}(D^\alpha)^{-1}(g)D^\alpha(h)) \\ &= (\text{Tr}(D^\alpha(g^{-1}h))) = (\chi^\alpha(g^{-1}h)) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Thus from the definition of M^α , it follows that in order to calculate the entries of M^α we do not need to know explicitly irrep D^α , but only $ICH \chi^\alpha$.

Now we shall express the matrix M^D by means of the matrices M^α . Namely, from the decompositions

$$D = \oplus_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha D^\alpha; \quad k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \chi^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha \chi^\alpha, \quad (40)$$

where k_α is the multiplicity of irrep D^α in D and from the character properties we get

Proposition 8 1. Matrices M^α are Hermitian and

$$M^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha M^\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad (M^D)^+ = M^D. \quad (41)$$

2. The sum of elements in each row and column of the matrix M^D is equal to nk_1 .

Further, using orthogonality relations for ICH

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^\alpha(g) \chi^\beta(g^{-1}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (42)$$

which one can derive from Schur's lemma, one can prove

Proposition 9 The matrices M^α are proportional to orthogonal projectors:

$$M^\alpha M^\beta = \frac{n}{d_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} M^\alpha \quad (43)$$

whereas the matrices $P^\alpha = \frac{d_\alpha}{n} M^\alpha$ form the complete set of orthogonal projectors:

$$P^\alpha P^\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P^\alpha; \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^r P^\alpha = \mathbf{1}; \quad (P^\alpha)^+ = P^\alpha \quad (44)$$

In particular the matrices M^α and P^α mutually commute.

This already gives us eigenvalues of the matrix M^D in terms of dimensions d_α and multiplicities of the irreps, which allows to derive the formula for the inverse of M^D , whenever it exists (see Theorem 15). We can however also find eigenvectors in terms of matrix elements of irreps. Namely, consider n vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose entries are defined by the matrix elements of irrep D^α in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ij}^\alpha &= (D_{ij}^\alpha(g^{-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n; \quad g \in G; \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, r; \\ i, j &= 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where α, i, j label the vectors U_{ij}^α and $g \in G$ label the entries of the vector $U_{ij}^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ i.e. the vector U_{ij}^α has the form

$$(U_{ij}^\alpha)^T = (D_{ij}^\alpha(g_1^{-1}), D_{ij}^\alpha(g_2^{-1}), \dots, D_{ij}^\alpha(g_n^{-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (46)$$

and in particular

$$(U^1)^T = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad (47)$$

It turns out that these vectors are eigenvectors of the matrices M^α :

Proposition 10 The U_{ij}^α are linearly independent and they are eigenvectors for matrices M^α and P^α i.e.

$$M^\alpha U_{ij}^\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{n}{d_\alpha} U_{ij}^\beta; \quad P^\alpha U_{ij}^\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} U_{ij}^\beta \quad (48)$$

If the irrep D^α are unitary then the vectors U_{ij}^α are orthogonal with respect to the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof: We prove the proposition in Appendix.

As an easy corollary from Proposition 10 we get the following theorem concerning the eigenproblem for the matrix M^D .

Theorem 11 The vectors U_{ij}^β are eigenvectors for the matrix M^D i.e.

$$M^D U_{ij}^\beta = k_\beta \frac{n}{d_\beta} U_{ij}^\beta, \quad (49)$$

and the eigenvalues of M^D are the following

$$\lambda_\beta \equiv k_\beta \frac{n}{d_\beta}. \quad (50)$$

The spectral decomposition of M^D thus reads

$$M^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \lambda_\alpha P^\alpha \quad (51)$$

where the eigenprojectors P^α are defined in Proposition 9.

Directly from this theorem it follows

Corollary 12 1. The matrix M^D is invertible iff each multiplicity k_α in the decomposition

$$\chi^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha \chi^\alpha \Leftrightarrow M^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha M^\alpha \quad (52)$$

is nonzero.

2. For a given α the vectors U_{ij}^α $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha$ span the eigenspace for the eigenvalue λ_α , so the multiplicity of λ_α is equal to d_α^2 .

3. The eigenvectors U_{ij}^α does not depend on the representation $D : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V)$, whereas the eigenvalues λ_α depends on the representation $D : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V)$ via multiplicities k_α .

4. We have also

$$\det M^D = \prod_{\alpha=1}^r (k_\alpha \frac{n}{d_\alpha})^{d_\alpha^2},$$

$$\text{Tr} M^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r n k_\alpha d_\alpha = n \dim D$$

Thus in order to calculate the eigenvalues λ_α of the matrix M^D we need only the multiplicities k_α of irrep D^α in the representation D (the dimensions d_α and rank $n = |G|$ are known).

From the above spectral decomposition we get

Corollary 13 If the matrix $M^D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r k_\alpha M^\alpha$ is invertible ($\Leftrightarrow k_\alpha \geq 1$) then

$$(M^D)^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \lambda_\alpha^{-1} P^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \frac{d_\alpha}{n k_\alpha} P^\alpha = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \frac{d_\alpha^2}{k_\alpha} M^\alpha \quad (53)$$

In fact this formula express the entries of the matrix $(M^D)^{-1}$ in terms of ICH, namely we have:

$$(M^D)_{gh}^{-1} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \frac{d_\alpha^2}{k_\alpha} \chi^\alpha(g^{-1}h), \quad (54)$$

i.e. all we need to calculate $(M^D)^{-1}$ are ICH and the multiplicities k_α of irrep D^α in the representation D .

Remark 14 It is known [19] that one can calculate the multiplicities k_α of irrep D^α in an arbitrary representation R of the group G using the following formula

$$k_\alpha = (\chi^R, \chi^\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^R(g) \chi^\alpha(g^{-1}), \quad (55)$$

where (χ^R, χ^α) is the scalar product in the linear space of central functions on the group G .

Finally, we want to express the inverse of M^D as a polynomial of M^D . To this end, note that from the Hermiticity of the matrix M^D it follows that the rank of the minimal polynomial of M^D is equal to r and the coefficients of this polynomial are determined by r pairwise distinct eigenvalues of M^D . Thus it is possible to write the matrix $(M^D)^{-1}$ as a polynomial of degree $r-1$ in M^D . In fact we have

Theorem 15 Let

$$W(x) = x^r + s_{r-1}x^{r-1} + \dots + s_1x + s_0 \quad (56)$$

be a minimal polynomial of the matrix M^D i.e. $W(M^D) = 0$. Then if $s_0 \neq 0$

$$(M^D)^{-1} = \frac{-1}{s_0} [(M^D)^{r-1} + s_{r-1}(M^D)^{r-2} + \dots + s_2 M^D + s_1] \quad (57)$$

This formula express the inverse of the matrix M^D as a polynomial function of itself.

In next section we shall apply these results to our representation.

B. Applications

In this subsection we will apply the above results to a particular representation of the symmetric group S_n which is described in

Definition 16 Let $H = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}^d$, so $\dim H = d^n$. We define the representation D of the group S_n in the space H by mean of operators which swap subsystems:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \sigma \in S_n \quad D(\sigma)(e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}) \\ = e_{\sigma^{-1}(i_1)} \otimes e_{\sigma^{-1}(i_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\sigma^{-1}(i_n)} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$.

In other words $D(\sigma) = V_\sigma$, using notation from previous sections.

An important property of any representation is its character and in this case it is not very difficult to prove that

Proposition 17 The character of the representation $D : S_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H)$ has the following form

$$\forall \sigma \in S_n \quad \chi^D(\sigma) = d^{l(\sigma)}, \quad (59)$$

where $l(\sigma)$ is the number of cycles in the cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in S_n$.

It follows that in the case of the representation D of S_n the matrix M^D has the form

$$M^D = (m_{\sigma\pi}) = (\chi^D(\sigma^{-1}\pi)) = (d^{l(\sigma^{-1}\pi)}) \quad (60)$$

Example 18 For the group S_3 the matrix M^D is the following

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} d^3 & d^2 & d^2 & d^2 & d & d \\ d^2 & d^3 & d & d & d^2 & d^2 \\ d^2 & d & d^3 & d & d^2 & d^2 \\ d^2 & d & d & d^3 & d^2 & d^2 \\ d & d^2 & d^2 & d^2 & d^3 & d \\ d & d^2 & d^2 & d^2 & d & d^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (61)$$

From Theorem 11 and Corollary 12 of the previous subsection it follows that in order to describe the basic properties of the matrix M^D , in particular its eigenvalues and the inverse $(M^D)^{-1}$, one has to calculate the multiplicities k_α of irrep D^α in the representation D . Using the formula from Remark 14 and the character tables for S_3 and S_4 [19] one gets

Proposition 19 1. The multiplicity coefficients k_α for S_3 are the following

$$k_1 = \frac{1}{6}(d^3+3d^2+2d); \quad k_2 = \frac{1}{6}(d^3-3d^2+2d); \quad k_3 = \frac{1}{3}(d^3-d) \quad (62)$$

2. The multiplicity coefficients k_α in the case of S_4 are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{1}{4!}d(d+1)(d+2)(d+3); \\ k_2 &= \frac{1}{4!}d(d-1)(d-2)(d-3); \\ k_3 &= \frac{2}{4!}d^2(d^2-1); \\ k_4 &= \frac{3}{4!}d(d^2-1)(d-2); \\ k_5 &= \frac{3}{4!}d(d^2-1)(d+2) \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

From Theorem 11 we get immediately the values of the corresponding eigenvalues and then from the Corollary 12 and Theorem 15 we get

Theorem 20 1. For S_3 we have

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{d^3(d^2-1)^2(d^2-4)}(M^2-3d(d^2+1)M+3d^4(d^2-1)\mathbf{1}) \quad (64)$$

where $d \neq 1, 2$ and

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{s_3} \times \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} & a_{56} \\ a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} & a_{64} & a_{65} & a_{66} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (65)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2; \quad a_{12} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{13} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{14} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{15} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{16} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \\ a_{21} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{22} = d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2; \quad a_{23} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \\ a_{24} &= 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{25} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{26} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{31} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{32} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{33} = d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2; \\ a_{34} &= 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{35} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{36} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{41} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{42} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{43} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \\ a_{44} &= d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2; \quad a_{45} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{46} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{51} &= 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{52} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{53} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{54} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{55} = d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2; \quad a_{56} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \\ a_{61} &= 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{62} = d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{63} = d^3 - d^5; \\ a_{64} &= d^3 - d^5; \quad a_{65} = 2d^4 - 2d^2; \quad a_{66} = d^6 - 3d^4 + 2d^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } s_3 = d^3(d^2-1)^2(d^2-4) = 9d^5 - 4d^3 - 6d^7 + d^9$$

Theorem 21 2. For S_4 we have

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{s_5}(M^4 - s_1M^3 + s_2M^2 - s_3M^1 + s_4\mathbf{1}) \quad (66)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= d^2(5d^2+19); \\ s_2 &= 2d^2(d^2-1)(5d^4+23d^2+20); \\ s_3 &= 2d^4(d^2-1)^2(5d^4+7d^2+12); \\ s_4 &= d^4(d^2-1)^3(d^2-4)(5d^4-9d^2+36); \\ s_5 &= d^6(d^2-1)^4(d^2-4)^2(d^2-9) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

and in this case $d \neq 1, 2, 3$.

■

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the time of equilibration of a small subsystem under the dynamics of a random Hamiltonian is fast, being determined by the mean inverse of the energy gaps of the Hamiltonian, which in typical cases scales as the number of particles in the system. This should be contrasted with the time scale that can be obtained from the results of [4], which is given by the inverse of the smallest energy gap of the Hamiltonian. The main message of this work is that in order to understand the time of equilibration in quantum systems, one must consider more than the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. Indeed, the structure of the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian appears to be of crucial importance for equilibration to happen quickly. Interestingly, asymptotic equilibration can be inferred just from the knowledge of the eigenvalues of the model, this being the main result of [4].

In our work we have shown that for almost any choice of the eigenvectors (when picked from the Haar measure), equilibration will happen quickly. A direct consequence of our result is that we can replace the Haar measure when choosing the basis by any quantum unitary 4-design, since we only used averages over four moments of the distribution in our arguments. As random quantum

circuits of order n^4 gates form a unitary 4-design [20], this means in particular that most Hamiltonians whose eigenbasis are determined by a sufficiently large quantum circuit (with more than $O(n^4)$ gates) are such that small subsystems equilibrate fast. A drawback of the result is that typically a Hamiltonian chosen in this way will be very different from realistic Hamiltonians, which should be formed by a sum of few-body terms. It is an interesting open problem if one can say something about the generic case of this more realistic type of model.

Note added: After the completion of this paper we became aware that similar results have been reported in [21] and [22].

Acknowledgements. – We would like to thank Robert Alicki for stimulating discussion. P. C., M. H., P. H., and J. K. are supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant N N202 231937. F. B. is supported by a "Conhecimento Novo" fellowship from the Brazilian agency Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG). Part of this work was done in National Quantum Information Centre of Gdańsk. F.B. and M.H. acknowledge the hospitality of Mittag Leffler Institute within the program "Quantum Information Science", where part of the work was done.

VI. APPENDIX

We prove here a few auxiliary lemmas and facts.

Fact 22 For $\pi \in S_n$ being a cycle, we have

$$\text{Tr}(V_\pi A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n) = \text{Tr}(A_{\pi(1)} \dots A_{\pi(n)}) \quad (68)$$

Proof. By direct inspection.

Fact 23 Consider the twirling operation τ_n given by $\tau_n(\cdot) = \int dU U^{\otimes n}(\cdot) U^{\otimes n\dagger}$. Then for any operators A and B acting on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ we have

$$\text{Tr}[A\tau_n(B)] = \langle \vec{a} | M^{-1} | \vec{b} \rangle \quad (69)$$

where $\vec{a} = (a_\pi)_{\pi \in S_n}$, $\vec{b} = (b_\pi)_{\pi \in S_n}$, with $a_\pi = \text{Tr} A V_{\pi^{-1}}$, $b_\pi = \text{Tr} B V_{\pi^{-1}}$. The matrix M is given by $M_{\pi,\sigma} = \langle V_\pi | V_\sigma \rangle = \text{Tr}(V_{\pi^{-1}} V_\sigma)$.

Proof. It is easy to check that the twirling operation is an orthogonal projector in the Hilbert-Schmidt space of operators, with the scalar product $\langle A | B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^\dagger B)$. It projects onto the space spanned by the permutation operators V_π . Then from Lemma 24 we have that

$$\text{Tr}[A^\dagger \tau(B)] = \sum_{\pi,\sigma} \langle A | V_\pi \rangle (M^{-1})_{\pi,\sigma} \langle V_\sigma | B \rangle \quad (70)$$

However $\langle A | V_\pi \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^\dagger V_\pi) = a_\pi^*$ and similarly $\langle V_\sigma | B \rangle = b_\sigma$, where $*$ stands for complex conjugate. This ends the proof.

Lemma 24 Let $\{\psi_i\}$ be arbitrary set of vectors from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let M be the matrix of the elements from the set: $M_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle$, and denote by M^{-1} the pseudoinverse of M , i.e. the unique matrix satisfying $M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = Q$, where Q is an orthogonal projection onto a support of the matrix M (Q is the orthogonal projection onto the range of M). Then the orthogonal projector P onto the subspace spanned by $\{\psi_i\}$ can be written as:

$$P = \sum_{ij} X_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j|, \quad (71)$$

where X_{ij} are elements of matrix X and by X we mean $X = M^{-1}$, so the pseudoinverse of matrix M .

Proof.

We must show that the operator P is indeed an orthogonal projection i.e. that $P = P^2$. Let start our proof by writing the following expression for the P^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \sum_{ijkl} X_{ij} X_{kl} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j| \psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_l| \\ &= \sum_{ijkl} X_{ij} X_{kl} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| M_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{ijl} X_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| \sum_k M_{jk} X_{kl}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where we use definition of M from Lemma 24. We can now express our equation in terms of Q and use this to obtain desired result

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \sum_{ijl} X_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| Q_{jl} = \sum_{il} \left(\sum_j X_{ij} Q_{jl} \right) |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| \\ &= \sum_{il} (XQ)_{il} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| = \sum_{il} X_{il} |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_l| = P, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

since according to Lemma 24: $MQ = QM = M$ and $XQ = QM = X$. ■

A. Proof of proposition 10

In order to prove this Proposition we will need:

Lemma 25 Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any character of the group G (or even any central function on G) and D^α be an irrep of G . Then

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\alpha; \quad \Phi_{ij} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi(g)} D_{ij}^\alpha(g) = \frac{n}{d_\alpha} (\chi^\alpha, \chi) \delta_{ij}, \quad (74)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product in the space $\mathbb{C}^G$.

Now we can prove the Proposition 10.

Proof.

$$(M^\alpha U_{ij}^\beta)_g = \sum_h \chi^\alpha(g^{-1}h) D_{ij}^\beta(h^{-1}) \quad (75)$$

we set

$$u^{-1} = g^{-1}h \quad (76)$$

then

$$(M^\alpha U_{ij}^\beta)_g = \sum_u \overline{\chi^\alpha(u)} D_{in}^\beta(u) D_{nj}^\beta(g^{-1}) \quad (77)$$

now we use the above Lemma and the fact that ICH of G are orthonormal i.e. $(\chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta) = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (M^\alpha U_{ij}^\beta)_g &= \sum_n \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{n}{d_\alpha} \delta_{in} D_{nj}^\beta(g^{-1}) \\ &= \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{n}{d_\alpha} D_{ij}^\beta(g^{-1}) \\ &= \delta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{n}{d_\alpha} (U_{ij}^\beta)_g \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

B. Miscellaneous facts about matrix M^D

It turns out that the matrix M^D may be written as a linear combination of adjacency matrices of the so called Commutative Association Scheme (see [23]) determined by the class structure of the group G .

Definition 26 Let $C_1 = \{e\}$, $C_2, \dots, C_r$ be the conjugacy classes of the group G . We define the i^{th} relation R_i on $G \times G$ in the following way

$$(g, h) \in R_i \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}h \in C_i.$$

Then the pair $(G, \{R_i\}_{i=1}^r)$ is a Commutative Association Scheme and by A_i we denote the corresponding adjacency matrices which are matrices of degree $|G| = n$ whose rows and columns are indexed by the elements G and whose entries are

$$(A_i)_{(g,h)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (g, h) \in R_i \\ 0 & \text{if } (g, h) \notin R_i \end{cases}.$$

So i^{th} adjacency matrix A_i is a 0,1 matrix.

Proposition 27 [23] (i) $A_1 = \mathbf{1}$, the identity matrix.

(ii) $\sum_{k=1}^r A_k = J$, where J is the matrix whose entries are all 1.

(iii) $A_k^t = A_{k'}$ for some $k' \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

(iv) $A_i A_j = \sum_{k=1}^r p_{ij}^k A_k \quad \forall i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

(v) $p_{ij}^k = p_{ji}^k \quad \forall i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \Leftrightarrow A_i A_j = A_j A_i \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

The matrix M^D may be written as a linear combination of the adjacency matrices in the following way

Proposition 28

$$M^D = \sum_{i=1}^r \chi^D(C_i) A_i.$$

■

-
- [1] S. Goldstein, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Tumulka, and N. Zanghi, The European Physical Journal H, Volume 35, Issue 2, 2010, pp.173-200 **35**, 173 (2010), arXiv:1003.2129.
 - [2] G. M. J. Gemmer, M. Michel, *Quantum Thermodynamics*, vol. 657 of *Lecture Notes in Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
 - [3] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1373 (1998), arXiv:cond-mat/9707253.
 - [4] N. Linden, S. Popescu, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 061103 (2009), 0812.2385.
 - [5] S. Goldstein, J. L. Lebowitz, C. Mastrodonato, R. Tumulka, and N. Zanghi, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 011109 (2010), 0911.1724.
 - [6] P. Reimann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 190403 (2008), arXiv:0810.3092.
 - [7] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008), arXiv:0708.1324.
 - [8] M. Rigol, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 100403 (2009), arXiv:0904.3746.
 - [9] A. C. Cassidy, C. W. Clark, and M. Rigol, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 140405 (2011), arXiv:1008.4794.
 - [10] M. C. Banuls, J. I. Cirac, and M. B. Hastings, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 050405 (2011), arXiv:1007.3957.
 - [11] M. Cramer and J. Eisert, New J. Phys. **12**, 055020 (2010), arXiv:0911.2475.
 - [12] C. Gogolin, M. P. Mueller, and J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 040401 (2011), arXiv:1009.2493.
 - [13] M. Cramer, C. M. Dawson, J. Eisert, and T. J. Osborne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030602 (2008), arXiv:cond-mat/0703314.
 - [14] A. R. U. Devi and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 011136 (2009), arXiv:0901.1453.
 - [15] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008), arXiv:0704.3011.
 - [16] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature (London) **440**, 900 (2006).
 - [17] S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, B. Fischer, T. Schumm, and J. Schmiedmayer, Nature **449**, 324 (2007), arXiv:0706.2259.
 - [18] S. Popescu, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, *The foundations of statistical mechanics from entanglement: Individual states vs. averages* (2006), quant-ph/0511225.
 - [19] J. W. Fulton, *Representation Theory - A first Course* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991).
 - [20] F. G. S. L. Brandão, A. Harrow, and M. Horodecki, *Random local quantum circuits are approximate polynomial-designs* (unpublished manuscript).
 - [21] Vinayak and M. Znidaric, *Subsystem's dynamics under random hamiltonian evolution* (2011), arXiv:1107.6035.
 - [22] L. Masanes, A. J. Roncaglia, and A. Acin, *A system equi-*

- librates when diagonalizing its hamiltonian is sufficiently hard* (2011), arXiv:1108.0374.
- [23] T. I. E. Bannai, *Algebraic combinatorics I.* (Benjamin/Cumming Publishing Company, 1984).